REMARKS

In the Office Action mailed April 22, 2009, the Examiner imposed a restriction

requirement as follows:

I. (claims 1-7, 9-17, and 53) drawn to a program recommendation system that

generates recommendations for a likely subset of users based upon particular time

segment within a day, classified in class 725, subclass 46; and

II. (claims 18, 32, and 33) drawn to a program recommendation system that

groups users into clusters based upon viewing similarity and generates

recommendations based upon the preferences of a given cluster, classified in class

725. subclass 35.

Applicants hereby elect the claims associated with I. (claims 1-7, 9-17, and 53)

without traverse for prosecution at this time. Claims 18, 32, and 33 are withdrawn

from consideration, without prejudice to the possibility of reinstatement during the $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) \left(1\right) \left($

prosecution of the subject application, and without prejudice to the filing of

subsequent continuation or divisional applications.

Accordingly, Applicants respectfully submit that the pending claims are now in

condition for allowance. If there are any remaining matters that may be handled by

telephone conference, the Examiner is kindly invited to contact the undersigned

attorney at the telephone number listed below.

Respectfully Submitted,

Lee & Hayes, PLLC Representative for Applicant

/Robert C. Peck/

00 070 0040)

Robert C. Peck(robp@leehayes.com; 206-876-6019)

Registration No. 56826

Reviewer/Supervisor: Robert L. Villhard (bob@leehaves.com: 512-505-8162)

-10-

Registration No. 53725

lee@hayes The Business of IP®

Dated: August 24, 2009

Serial No.: 09/825,820 Atty Docket No.: MS1-4861US Attv/Agent: Robert C. Peck